Image credit: LIGO/T. Pyle





Gravitational Wave Astrophysics Pablo Marchant

Part 3: GWs from binaries



Today

- History of the field
- Types of detectors

- Types of sources

- Current state of the field
 - Future advancements

12/5

- Ground based interferometers

- Production of GWs from compact object binaries

19/5

- Parameter estimation from observed compact object coalescences

- Astrophysics of observed GW sources



Today

- History of the field
- Types of detectors
- Types of sources
- Current state of the field
 - Future advancements





19/5

Parameter estimation from observed compact object coalescences

- Astrophysics of observed GW sources

Radiation from orbiting point masses

For an eccentric orbit, the time to merger can be computed from an integral expression. For a circular orbit the result is analytical:

$$t_d = \frac{a^4}{4\beta},$$

Using Kepler's third law, this can be expressed in terms of the orbital period and a combination of the masses called the chirp mass

 $t_d = 7.4 \left[\text{Gyr} \right] \left(\frac{P}{12} \right]$

Does nature provide such massive and compact binaries?

$$\beta \equiv \frac{64}{5} \, \frac{G^3 m_1 m_2 (m_1)}{c^5}$$

$$\frac{P}{[h]}\right)^{8/3} \left(\frac{\mathcal{M}}{M_{\odot}}\right)^{-5/3}, \ \mathcal{M} \equiv \frac{(m_1 m_2)^{3/5}}{(m_1 + m_2)^{1/5}}$$

for
$$m_1 = m_2$$

$v_1 + m_2$)

$_{2}, M \simeq 0.87m_{1}$











Post-newtonian theory

Numerical Perturbation relativity theory





Post-newtonian theory

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=p647WrQd684

Credit:M. Favata/SXS/K. Thorne



Numerical Perturbation relativity theory

- Different parts of the parameter space require different techniques. (although NR in principle can cover all)

- NS succeded only recently in doing a complete merger simulation. (Pretorius 2005).

- PN theory, expansion in terms of $(v/c)^2$ factors, valid for v<<c.

- Lowest order of PN theory with gravitational radiation is the so-called 2.5 PN order (quadrupole radiation).



mass ratio inspiral https://www.youtube.com/watch? v = bqFHe7CM99g

Example of the complexity of an extreme

- Different parts of the parameter space require different techniques. (although NR in principle can cover all)

- NS succeded only recently in doing a complete merger simulation. (Pretorius 2005).

- PN theory, expansion in terms of $(v/c)^2$ factors, valid for v<<c.

- Lowest order of PN theory with gravitational radiation is the so-called 2.5 PN order (quadrupole radiation).

> will only discuss the impact of quadrupole radiation here!



mass ratio inspiral https://www.youtube.com/watch? v = bqFHe7CM99g

Example of the complexity of an extreme

$\Phi(\mathbf{x}) = -\int \frac{\rho(\mathbf{x}')}{|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}'|} dV_x$

The Newtonian potential from a mass distribution can be computed as:

The Newtonian potential from a mass distribution can be computed as:

$$\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{x}) = -\int \frac{\rho(\mathbf{x}')}{|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}'|} dV_x$$

The denominator can be expanded (using index sumation notation) as:

 $\frac{1}{|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}'|} = \frac{1}{r} + \frac{x^j x^{j'}}{r^3} + \frac{x^j x^k (3x^{j'} x^{k'} - r'^2 \delta_{jk})}{2r^5} + \dots, \ r = |\mathbf{x}|$

The denominator can be expanded (using index sumation notation) as:

From which the potential can be expressed as a multipolar expansion:

The Newtonian potential from a mass distribution can be computed as:

$$\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{x}) = -\int \frac{\rho(\mathbf{x}')}{|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}'|} dV_x$$

$$\frac{'}{2} + \frac{x^{j} x^{k} (3x^{j'} x^{k'} - r'^{2} \delta_{jk})}{2r^{5}}$$

$+ \ldots, r = |\mathbf{x}|$

The denominator can be expanded (using index sumation notation) as:

From which the potential can be expressed as a multipolar expansion:

Monopole

The Newtonian potential from a mass distribution can be computed as:

$$\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{x}) = -\int \frac{\rho(\mathbf{x}')}{|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}'|} dV_x$$

$$\frac{'}{2} + \frac{x^{j} x^{k} (3x^{j'} x^{k'} - r'^{2} \delta_{jk})}{2r^{5}}$$

 $M = \int \rho dV_x, \, \mathbf{d} = \int \rho \mathbf{x} dV_x, \, \mathcal{I}_{jk} = \int \rho (x^j x^k - r^2 \delta_{jk}/3)$ Dipole

$+\ldots, r = |\mathbf{x}|$

Quadrupole

 $M = \int \rho dV_x, \, \mathbf{d} = \int \rho \mathbf{x} dV_x, \, \mathcal{I}_{jk} = \int \rho (x^j x^k - r^2 \delta_{jk}/3)$ Monopole Dipole Quadrupole

Mass conservation

 $M = \int \rho dV_x, \ \mathbf{d} = \int \rho \mathbf{x} dV_x, \ \mathcal{I}_{jk} = \int \rho (x^j x^k - r^2 \delta_{jk}/3)$ Monopolity Dipole Quadrupole

Mass conservation Mom. conservation

 $M = \int \rho dV_x, \, \mathbf{d} = \int \rho dV_x, \, \mathcal{I}_{jk} = \int \rho (x^j x^k - r^2 \delta_{jk}/3)$ Quadrupole **D**pole

Mass conservation Mom. conservation

Gravitational radiation could be produced if any of these moments could exhibit "oscillations", ie that they have non-zero second time derivatives.

 $M = \int \rho dV_x, \, \mathbf{d} = \int \rho dV_x, \, \mathcal{I}_{jk} = \int \rho (x^j x^k - r^2 \delta_{jk}/3)$ Quadrupole **D** pole

This one can do the job!

Mass conservation Mom. conservation

Gravitational radiation could be produced if any of these moments could exhibit "oscillations", ie that they have non-zero second time derivatives.

Radiation can also be produced by moments of the mass "current" (ie. momentum). Current quadrupole radiation is the first term that contributes, but is a factor v/c smaller than the mass quadrupole.

This one can do the job!

Quadrupole radiation

Demonstrate that the second time derivative of the dipole moment is zero for a closed system.
Demonstrate also that the second time derivative of the current dipole,

is zero in a closed system. - Show that a spherical mass distribution has a zero quadrupole moment. What about higher moments?

Exercise 1

$\int \rho(\mathbf{x}) \mathbf{x} \times \mathbf{v} dV$

5

 $h_+ \sim h_- \sim \frac{G}{c^4} \frac{\ddot{\mathcal{I}}_{jk}(t-r/c)}{r}$

 $\ddot{\mathcal{I}}_{jk} \sim rac{ML^2}{T^2}$

 h_{\perp}

$$-\sim h_- \sim \frac{G}{c^4} \frac{\ddot{\mathcal{I}}_{jk}(t-r/c)}{r}$$

 $\ddot{\mathcal{I}}_{jk} \sim \frac{ML^2}{T^2}$

Last week we already saw an example of a binary system affected by GW radiation, the Hulse-Taylor binary. For this one we have that

 h_{\perp}

Last week we already saw an example of a binary system affected by GW radiation, the Hulse-Taylor binary. For this one we have that

 $M \sim 3M_{\odot}, L \sim a/2 \sim R_{\odot}, T \sim P_{\rm orb} \sim 8 \, {\rm hr}, r \sim 2 \times 10^{20} \, [{\rm m}]$

$$-\sim h_{-}\sim rac{G}{c^4}rac{\ddot{\mathcal{I}}_{jk}(t-r/c)}{r}$$

 $\ddot{\mathcal{I}}_{jk}\sim rac{ML^2}{T^2}$

 h_{\perp}

Last week we already saw an example of a binary system affected by GW radiation, the Hulse-Taylor binary. For this one we have that

$$-\sim h_{-}\sim rac{G}{c^4}rac{\ddot{\mathcal{I}}_{jk}(t-r/c)}{r}$$

 $\ddot{\mathcal{I}}_{jk}\sim rac{ML^2}{T^2}$

 $M \sim 3M_{\odot}, L \sim a/2 \sim R_{\odot}, T \sim P_{\rm orb} \sim 8 \, {\rm hr}, r \sim 2 \times 10^{20} \, [{\rm m}]$

 $h \sim 10^{-25}$

Quadrupole radiation

Without going into too much detail, what we are interested in is the gravitational wave signal induced. In an informal notation, one has that the strain produced by the variation of the mass quadrupole is:

Last radia $M \sim$

Imagine we want (for some malicious reason) to produce a fake GW signal in the Virgo detector in Italy. Rather than going there and stomping on the ground we decide to tie to masses with a 1 meter cord and make them rotate at 100 Hz. What masses would we require?

Exercise 2

$h \sim 10^{-25}$

GW

Binary systems

 $h_+ \sim h_- \sim \frac{G}{c^4} \frac{\ddot{\mathcal{I}}_{jk}(t-r/c)}{r}$

 $\ddot{\mathcal{I}}_{jk} \sim rac{ML^2}{T^2}$

In a binary system T and L are not arbitrary, but connected through Kepler's third law,

 $\Omega = \frac{2\pi}{P} = \sqrt{G(M_1 + M_2)/a^3}$

Credit: Sara Pinilla

Binary systems

 $h_+ \sim h_- \sim \frac{G}{c^4} \frac{\ddot{\mathcal{I}}_{jk}(t-r/c)}{r}$

 $\ddot{\mathcal{I}}_{jk} \sim rac{ML^2}{T^2}$

In a binary system T and L are not arbitrary, but connected through Kepler's third law,

 $\Omega = \frac{2\pi}{P} = \sqrt{G(M_1 + M_2)/a^3}$

The strain can then be estimated as:

 $h \sim \frac{G^{5/3}}{c^4} \frac{M^{5/3}}{rP^{2/3}} \simeq 3 \times 10^{-21} \left(\frac{M}{60 M_{\odot}}\right)^{5/3} \left(\frac{P}{0.01 \text{ s}}\right)^{-2/3} \left(\frac{r}{100 \text{ Mpc}}\right)^{-1}$

Binary systems

 $h_+ \sim h_- \sim \frac{G}{c^4} \frac{\ddot{\mathcal{I}}_{jk}(t-r/c)}{r}$

 $\ddot{\mathcal{I}}_{jk} \sim rac{ML^2}{T^2}$

In a binary system T and L are not arbitrary, but connected through Kepler's third law,

 $\Omega = \frac{2\pi}{P} = \sqrt{G(M_1 + M_2)/a^3}$

The strain can then be estimated as:

Let's write down the exact solution for h for a circular binary. Consider the system is observed at an inclination i.

 $M = m_1 + m_2, \ \mu = \frac{m_1 m_2}{m_1 + m_2}$

Let's write down the exact solution for h for a circular binary. Consider the system is observed at an inclination i.

 $M = m_1 + m_2, \ \mu = \frac{m_1 m_2}{m_1 + m_2}$

a circular binary. Consider the system is observed at an inclination i.

Let's write down the exact solution for h for

 $M = m_1 + m_2, \ \mu = \frac{m_1 m_2}{m_1 + m_2}$

GW period is half the orbital!

a circular binary. Consider the system is observed at an inclination i.

 $h_{-} = -4\cos\theta \frac{G^{5/3}}{c^4} \frac{\mu(M\Omega)^{2/3}}{r} \sin[2(\Omega t - \Omega r/c - \phi)]$

polarizations are shifted by a quarter phase, circular polarization for i=0!

Let's write down the exact solution for h for

 $M = m_1 + m_2, \ \mu = \frac{m_1 m_2}{m_1 + m_2}$

GW period is half the orbital!

Measuring amplitude of both polarizations constrains the masses of the system divided by separation.

a circular binary. Consider the system is observed at an inclination i.

polarizations are shifted by a quarter phase, circular polarization for i=0!

Let's write down the exact solution for h for

 $M = m_1 + m_2, \ \mu = \frac{m_1 m_2}{m_1 + m_2}$

GW period is half the orbital!

Measuring amplitude of both polarizations constrains the masses of the system divided by separation.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Y6tSFk5ESAo

a circular binary. Consider the system is observed at an inclination i.

Let's write down the exact solution for h for

 $M = m_1 + m_2, \ \mu = \frac{m_1 m_2}{m_1 + m_2}$

GW period is half the orbital!

polarizations are shifted by a quarter phase, circular polarization for i=0!

Mea con divi h_+

From the mass quadrupole moment determine that the orbital period of a GW would be half that of the binary system. To do this consider a coordinate frame on the center of mass and see how the mass quadrupole changes after half an orbit.

$h_{-} = -4\cos\theta \frac{G^{3/3}}{c^4} \frac{\mu(M\Omega)^{-/3}}{r} \sin[2(\Omega t - \Omega r/c - \phi)]$

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Y6tSFk5ESAo

a circular binary. Consider the system is <u>abcarvad at an inclination i</u>

Exercise 3

polarizations are shifted by a quarter phase, circular polarization for i=0!

Let's write down the exact solution for h for

112 m_2

brbital!

Brief note on interferometers

Although interferometers are not pointed at a specific location, the have different sensitivities to waves coming from different sky locations,

Moore, Cole & Berry (2014)

Brief note on interferometers

Additional, the orientation of a binary affects sensitivity. From the equations on the previous slide, one can see that the ideal alignment is the observation of a system with i=0, seen directly above or below the detector.

Although interferometers are not pointed at a specific location, the have different sensitivities to waves coming from different sky locations,

Moore, Cole & Berry (2014)

Brief note on interferometers

Since an interferometer is sensitive to only one polarization, LIGO detectors are placed at a slight angle mismatch.

astro-ph: 0705.1514

As we discussed last week, the time to merger for a compact object binary is a function of period and the chirp mass

As we discussed last week, the time to merger for a compact object binary is a function of period and the chirp mass

$$t_d = 7.4 \left[\text{Gyr} \right] \left(\frac{1}{12} \right)$$

But one does not need to wait for a merger to happen to measure the chirp mass. It can be computed from the frequency and its time derivative:

 $\mathcal{M} = \frac{c^3}{G} \left(\frac{5}{96} \pi^{-8/3} f^{-11/3} \dot{f} \right)^{3/5}$

As we discussed last week, the time to merger for a compact object binary is a function of period and the chirp mass

$$t_d = 7.4 \left[\text{Gyr} \right] \left(\frac{1}{12} \right)$$

But one does not need to wait for a merger to happen to measure the chirp mass. It can be computed from the frequency and its time derivative:

You might have not noticed, but we saw the chirp mass a few slides ago. In the strain amplitude the mass comes in the form:

 $\mu M^{2/3} = \frac{m_1 m_2}{(m_1 + M_2)^{1/3}} = \mathcal{M}^{3/5}$

 $\mathcal{M} = \frac{c^3}{G} \left(\frac{5}{96} \pi^{-8/3} f^{-11/3} \dot{f} \right)^{3/5}$

 t_d

Q/95/9 3/5Take home messages **e** - Measuring the period and period derivative of a slow motion binary gives its chirp mass. - Measuring the degree of circular polarization gives a measure of its inclination. - Measuring the intensity of the wave, coupled with the chirp mass, gives the distance to the source.

As we discussed last week, the time to merger for a compact object binary is a function of period and the chirp mass

Want to know more?

Ū

https://astro-gr.org/online-course-gravitational-waves/

Me Home

Pygmalion

5- Generation of GWs by Slow-Motion Sources in Curved Spacetime

1. Strong-field region, weak-field near zone, local wave zone, distant wave zone 2. Multipolar expansions of metric perturbation in weak-field near zone and local wave zone 3. Application to a binary star system with circular orbit

Lecturer Kip Thorne: "Generation of GWs by Slow-Motion Sources in Curved Spacetime (1/2)"

	Contact	Focus	About	Team	Grav. Wave Course	G
,	OpenBSE	o - wi	⊃ v Life	e ▼ Co	omments et al 🔻	

Lecturer Kip Thorne: "Generation of GWs by Slow-Motion Sources in Curved Spacetime (2/2)"

Includes GR derivations, various lectures on things such as post-newtonian approximations and numerical relativity.

Stellar Collis W Notes

Want to know more?

Chapter 27.5 on generation of gravitational waves

